Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1) RC 2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION SeaNotifications of Transmittal International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) International application No. PCT/IPEA/03/007497 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) Priority date (day/month/year) 12 June 2005 (12.06.2003) 12 June 2002 (12.06.2002)	(PCT	Article 36 and	Kuie /o)	
International application No. PCT/IP2003/007497 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) Priority date (day/month/year) 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) 12 June 2002 (12.06.2002) 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) 12 June 2004 (19.01.2004) 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) 12 June 2004 (19.01.2004) 13 June 2004 (19.01.2004) 14 June 2004 (19.01.2004) 15 June 2003 (12.06.2003) 15 June 2004 (19.01.2004) 15 June 2004 (19.01.2004)			SeeNotificati	onofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary
PCT/IPZ003/0074-7 International Pratent Classification (IFC) or national classification and IFC GOGF 17/60 Applicant	PF15601 International application No.	International filing date (day/m		Driggity date (day/month/year)
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of	PCT/JP2003/00/49/ International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classi	fication and IPC		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	•-			
Date of submission of the demand 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP Authorized officer	2. This REPORT consists of a total of	er sheet. iption, claims and/or drawings which have been fications made before this Authority (see Rule I).		
Date of submission of the demand 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003) Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP 19 January 2004 (19.01.2004) Authorized officer			Date of con	apletion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP Authorized officer	Date of submission of the demand	3)		19 January 2004 (19.01.2004)
Telephone No.			Authorized	l officer
			Telephone	No.

man (agreet sheet) (July 1998)





I. :	I. Basis of the report										
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*											
		the international application as originally filed									
	\boxtimes	the desc	cription:								
		pages	1-15, 17-19		, as originally filed						
		pages			, filed with the demand						
		pages	, filed with the le	tter of	29 September 2003 (29.09.2003)						
	\square	the clair	ms:								
		pages			, as originally filed						
		pages	, as amended	(together							
		pages			, filed with the demand						
		pages	6 , filed with the le								
	\square	the drav									
		pages			, as originally filed						
		pages		*	, filed with the demand						
		pages	, filed with the le	tter of	•						
	П.			_							
	L ¹	_	nce listing part of the description:								
		pages									
		pages pages	, filed with the le								
ŀ		pages	, nied with the le	ner or _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2.			o the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnis nal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	hed to th	is Authority in the language in which						
			ts were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	e	which is:						
		the lang	guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search	(under R	ule 23.1(b)).						
		the lang	guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the lan	guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international pr	eliminary	examination (under Rule 55.2 and/						
3.		regard	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the	interna	tional application, the international						
	preli	-	kamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:								
	H		ed in the international application in written form.								
	H		gether with the international application in computer readable form.								
	H		ed subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
	H		ed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	.	the state of the s						
			atement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing tional application as filed has been furnished.	noes not	go beyond the disclosure in the						
	Ш		atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is unished.	identical	to the written sequence listing has						
4.	\boxtimes	The am	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
			the description, pages								
		\boxtimes	the claims, Nos. <u>1-5, 7, 8</u>								
			the drawings, sheets/fig								
5.		This rep	oort has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2)	made, si (c)).**	nce they have been considered to go						
*	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).										
**		-	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1	and anno	xed to this report						
	arity t	Jp.acciiii		arna urure	wow to situate point						

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Claims	6	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES			
		Claims	6	NO NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	6	YES			
		Claims		NO NO			

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: JP 2002-108989 A (Melco, Inc., Vega Systems Co., Ltd.), 12 April 2002

The invention set forth in claim 6 does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 cited in the international search report. Document 1 sets forth a technique wherein a search is made for a constituent that is compatible with another particular constituent based on information about compatibility between constituents which is stored in a database, a request for the production of said product is made, and the company which has been requested to produce said product is notified of the verification results of said product, therefore it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of employing the technique described in document 1 to a medicine trial production supporting system, to derive the invention set forth in claim 6. Moreover, in order to maintain confidentiality concerning products, separating the production of products into individual steps and outsourcing these to a plurality of manufacturers of compositions, and when products are highly confidential, converting information concerning principal constituents into other information which does not allow said information concerning principal constituents to be

inferred, before notifying the manufacturer of compositions of this information, are arrangements which could be accomplished as necessary by a person skilled in the art, and it would not be technically difficult to convert said arrangements into a computer system.